

Tetrahedron Letters 41 (2000) 3665-3668

Synthesis of carbohydrate mimetics of an acceptor substrate for the *Leishmania* elongating α-D-mannopyranosylphosphate transferase

Dmitry V. Yashunsky, Yury E. Tsvetkov and Andrei V. Nikolaev * Department of Chemistry, University of Dundee, Dundee DD1 4HN, UK

Received 31 January 2000; accepted 13 March 2000

Abstract

Two mimetics of dec-9-enyl β -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-mannopyranosyl phosphate containing the phosphodiester (3) or phosphonate (4) groups have been synthesized. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: carbohydrate mimetics; phosphoric acid derivatives; phosphonic acid derivatives.

The surface antigenic lipophosphoglycan (LPG) produced by the infectious promastigote stage of all species of the *Leishmania* parasite contains a polymeric section consisting of $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -linked β -D-galactosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - α -D-mannosyl phosphate repeating units. The importance of the LPG for parasite infectivity and survival makes the enzymes responsible for the biosynthesis of this glycoconjugate of great interest. The polymeric phosphoglycan region of the LPG was shown to be assembled in vitro by the sequential action of the *Leishmania* α -D-Manp-phosphate transferase (MPT) and β -D-Galp transferase (GT) using GDP-Man and UDP-Gal, respectively, as substrate donors. Since there are no other mannosylphosphate transferases in mammals, the *Leishmania* MPT seems to be a good drug target. A fine substrate specificity of the MPT has been recently studied using synthetic oligo-phosphosaccharide substrates and substrate analogues. The results showed that: (1) the phosphodisaccharide 1 representing one repeating unit of the phosphoglycan is an efficient acceptor substrate for the MPT; (2) the negative charge of the phosphodiester group is essential for the enzyme recognition; (3) both the C-6 and C-6' primary hydroxyls of 1 are essential for substrate recognition as well as the C-6' hydroxyl (the acceptor site) is essential for catalysis; and (4) C-2, C-3, C-2', C-3' and C-4' hydroxyls of 1 make little, or no contribution to the substrate recognition.

^{*} Corresponding author.

Here, we report the synthesis of two structural mimetics **3** and **4** of the MPT substrate **1** containing just the elements of the structure, which were shown to be necessary for the enzyme recognition (i.e. both primary hydroxyls and the phosphate anion). To secure chemical stability of the mimetics, the intersaccharidic oxygen (O-5 in the hypothetical mimetic analog **2**) was replaced with the CH₂-group and the O-C-O-P segment (O-8-C-9-O-10-P in the structure **2**) was replaced with either C-8-C-9-O-10-P (in **3**), or O-8-C-9-C-10-P (in **4**) fragments. The data obtained from testing **3** and **4** as acceptor substrates for the MPT will be used to gain more comprehensive information about the substrate specificity of the enzyme and to design potential inhibitors. Both compounds contain either a dec-9-enyl, or a *n*-decyl moiety that assists biochemical assays.

The phosphoric diester **3** was obtained from the alcohol **8** (Scheme 1) and dec-9-en-1-ol using the H-phosphonate method.⁷ The phosphonic ester **4** was synthesized using the Arbusov reaction⁸ from the alkyl bromide **12** (Scheme 2) and tri-*n*-decyl phosphite. A common intermediate for both targeted compounds, the alcohol **6**, was prepared starting from commercially available 2-benzyloxyethanol and 5-bromopent-1-ene. Their reaction in DMF in the presence of sodium hydride gave the ether **5**. Successive osmium tetroxide catalyzed hydroxylation⁹ of the double bond yielded the corresponding diol, which was then selectively protected with benzyl bromide via a stannylene intermediate¹⁰ to afford the secondary alcohol **6** in 46% overall yield (based on 2-benzyloxyethanol).

Scheme 1. Reagents: (i) (a) OsO_4 cat., NMO, acetone—water; (b) Bu_2SnO , PhCH₃, Δ ; (c) BnBr, n- Bu_4NI ; (ii) (a) n- Pr_4NRuO_4 cat., NMO, MS 4 Å, CH_2Cl_2 ; (b) NaH, $(MeO)_2POCH_2COOMe$, THF; (c) $LiAlH_4$, THF; (iii) (a) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) H_2 , Ra-Ni; (c) BzCl, pyridine; (d) n- Bu_4NF , THF; (iv) (a) tri-imidazolylphosphine, MeCN; (b) Et_3NHHCO_3 , water (pH 7); (v) (a) dec-9-en-1-ol, Me_3CCOCl , pyridine; (b) I_2 , pyridine—water; (vi) NaOMe, MeOH

For the preparation of **3**, the derivative **6** was first oxidized¹¹ to the corresponding ketone with *N*-methylmorpholine *N*-oxide (NMO) in the presence of n-Pr₄NRuO₄ followed by the Horner–Emmons olefination¹² with trimethyl phosphonoacetate and reduction with LiAlH₄ to produce the allylic alcohol

Scheme 2. Reagents: (i) (a) NaH, allyl bromide, DMF; (b) OsO₄ cat., NMO, acetone–water, then Na₂SO₃, then NaIO₄; (c) NaBH₄, EtOH; (ii) (a) MeSO₂Cl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂; (b) n-Bu₄NBr, PhCH₃, Δ ; (iii) P(OEt)₃, Δ , for **13**; P(OC₁₀H₂₁-n)₃, Δ , for **14**; (iv) (a) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH; (b) BzCl, pyridine; (v) NaOH, dioxane–water, Δ ; (vi) TMSI, CH₂Cl₂; (vii) H₂, Pd(OH)₂/C, MeOH; (viii) Et₃N, MeOH

7 (87%) as a mixture of two isomers. It was then converted to the alcohol **8** (89%) (representing the disaccharide fragment in the mimetic structure **3**) by consecutive *O*-protection with TBDMS–chloride, hydrogenation of the double bond and both benzyl ethers over a nickel catalyst, conventional *O*-benzoylation and desilylation with TBAF. Phosphitylation^{3,4,7} of **8** with tri-imidazolylphosphine (prepared in situ from PCl₃, imidazole and Et₃N) followed by mild hydrolysis gave the H-phosphonate **9**, which produced the protected phosphoric diester **10** (73%, δ_P –0.46) upon condensation^{3,4,7} with dec-9-en-1-ol in pyridine in the presence of trimethylacetyl chloride and oxidation of the resulting phosphorous diester with iodine in aq. pyridine. Debenzoylation of **10** with 0.1 M methanolic sodium methoxide provided the phosphodiester **3**¹³ quantitatively.

For the preparation of 4 (Scheme 2), the alcohol 6 was first allylated with allyl bromide in the presence of sodium hydride to give the corresponding allyl ether, which was then transformed to the alcohol 11 (93% based on 6) by consecutive hydroxylation with OsO₄, periodate cleavage of the resulting diol and reduction of the intermediate aldehyde with NaBH₄. Conventional mesylation of the alcohol 11 followed by bromination of the mesylate with n-Bu₄NBr led to the key 2-alkoxy-ethyl bromide 12 (95%). The Arbusov reaction of the bromide 12 with tri-n-decyl phosphite¹⁴ (neat, 170°C) afforded the phosphonic diester 14 (73%, δ_P 28.7), which was selectively hydrolyzed¹⁵ by refluxing with 2% NaOH in dioxane—water to produce (after neutralization with 0.5 M HCl) the n-decyl phosphonate 17 (H⁺-form, δ_P 30.8) in 56% yield (93% based on recovered 14). Final debenzylation of 17 by hydrogenation over Pd(OH)₂/C (\rightarrow 18)¹⁶ followed by neutralization with triethylamine provided the phosphonic acid monoester 4^{17} quantitatively.

Alternatively, we attempted to prepare the ester **4** via the phosphonic acid **16**. The Arbusov reaction of the bromide **12** with triethyl phosphite¹⁸ (neat, 150°C) led to the diethyl phosphonate **13** (δ_P 28.8) in 92% yield. The dibenzyl ether **13** was then *O*-reprotected by catalytic hydrogenation followed by conventional benzoylation to give the dibenzoate **15** (88%, δ_P 28.5). Subsequent *P*-deprotection of **15** with TMSI¹⁸ in CH₂Cl₂ at 0°C afforded the phosphonic acid **16**¹⁹ (100%). Regrettably, all attempts to convert it to the corresponding mono-*n*-decyl phosphonate by esterification²⁰ with *n*-decanol in the presence of DCC, or other condensing agents (TPS-NT, MS-NT, HATU, etc.) failed.

The carbohydrate mimetics 3 and 4 are being tested as substrates for the *Leishmania* α -D-Manpphosphate transferase and the results will be published elsewhere in due course.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by two Wellcome Trust International Grants (for D.V.Y. and Y.E.T.). The research of A.V.N. was supported by an International Research Scholar's award from the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

References

- 1. McConville, M. J.; Ferguson, M. A. J. Biochemistry 1993, 294, 305.
- 2. Carver, M. A.; Turco, S. J. J. Biol. Chem. 1991, 266, 10974.
- 3. Nikolaev, A. V.; Rutherford, T. J.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Brimacombe, J. S. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1995**, 1977; Higson, A. P.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Nikolaev, A. V. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1998**, 2587; Higson, A. P.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Nikolaev, A. V. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 9281.
- Ivanova, I. A.; Ross, A. J.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Nikolaev, A. V. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1999, 1743; Ross, A. J.; Higson, A. P.; Ferguson, M. A. J.; Nikolaev, A. V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6695.
- Brown, G. M.; Millar, A. R.; Masterson, C.; Brimacombe, J. S.; Nikolaev, A. V.; Ferguson, M. A. J. Eur. J. Biochem. 1996, 242, 410.
- 6. Routier, F. H.; Higson, A. P.; Ivanova, I. A.; Ross, A. J.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Yashunsky, D. V.; Bates, P. A.; Nikolaev, A. V.; Ferguson, M. A. J. *Biochemistry* **2000**, submitted for publication.
- 7. Stawinski, J.; Stroemberg, R. Trends in Org. Chem. 1993, 4, 31.
- 8. Arbusov, B. A. Pure Appl. Chem. 1964, 9, 307.
- 9. Cha, J. K.; Christ, W. J.; Kishi, Y. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 2247.
- 10. David, S.; Thieffry, A.; Veyrieres, A. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1981, 1796.
- 11. Ley, S. V.; Norman, J.; Griffith, W. P.; Marsden, S. P. Synthesis 1994, 639.
- 12. Wadsworth, W. S.; Emmons, W. D. In *Organic Syntheses*; Dauben, W. G., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, **1965**, Vol. 45, pp. 44–47.
- 13. Compound **3**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (D₂O) 0.90–1.80 (21H, m, 10×CH₂ and CH), 0.96 (9H, t, J=7.3, 3×C H_3 CH₂N), 2.88 (6H, q, 3×C H_3 CH₂N), 3.17–3.30 (6H, m, 3×CH₂O), 3.38 (2H, m, CH₂O), 3.53 and 3.59 (4H, 2×q, J=6.3, 2×C H_2 CH₂OP), 4.63 (1H, br d, $J_{\rm cis}$ =10.2, cis-H from C H_2 =CH), 4.70 (1H, br d, J_{trans} =17.8, trans-H from C H_2 =CH) and 5.52 (1H, ddt, $J_{\rm H,CH_2}$ =6.7, CH₂=CHCH₂); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (D₂O) 8.6 (NCH₂CH₃), 25.8, 26.4, 27.1, 29.0, 29.2, 29.3 and 29.5 (7×CH₂), 30.5 and 31.9 (2×d, $J_{\rm C,P}$ =6.5, 2×C H_2 CH₂OP), 33.9 (CH₂=CHCH₂), 36.8 (CH), 47.0 (NCH₂), 60.8 and 64.2 (2×C H_2 OH), 64.3 and 66.4 (2×d, $J_{\rm C,P}$ =5.1, 2×C H_2 OP), 71.6 and 71.8 (CH₂OCH₂), 114.5 (CH₂=CH) and 139.7 (CH₂=CH); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (D₂O) 0.70; ES-MS (–) data: m/z 409.21 (100%, [M–Et₃N–H]⁻), (C₂₅ H_{54} NO₇P requires M, 511.36).
- 14. Petrov, K. A.; Nifant'ev, E. E.; Shchegolev, A. A.; Butilov, M. M.; Rebus, I. F. J. Gen. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1963, 33, 885.
- 15. Torralba, A. F.; Myers, T. C. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 972.
- 16. Compound **18**: δ_C (CDCl₃) 14.5 (CH₃), 23.1, 25.8, 29.6, 29.7, 29.9 (2C) and 32.3 [(CH₂)₇CH₃], 25.9 and 28.1 [OCH₂(CH₂)₂CHO], 27.8 (d, $J_{C,P}$ =142.1, CH₂P), 30.9 (d, $J_{C,P}$ =5.1, CH₂CH₂OP), 62.1 and 64.5 (2×CH₂OH), 64.0 and 65.8 (2×br, CH₂CH₂POCH₂), 71.5 and 72.4 (CH₂OCH₂) and 81.3 (CHO); δ_P (CDCl₃) 31.1.
- 17. Compound 4: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CD₃OD) 0.92 (3H, t, J=6.9, CH₃ from n-decyl), 1.28–1.80 [29H, m, (CH₂)₈CH₃, 3×CH₃CH₂N and OCH₂(CH₂)₂CHO], 1.94 (2H, dt, J_{H,H}=7.8, J_{H,P}=17.3, OCH₂CH₂P), 3.21 (6H, q, J=7.1, 3×CH₃CH₂N), 3.30–3.90 (11H, m, 5×CH₂O and CHO) and 3.85 (2H, q, J=6.2, CH₂CH₂OP); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (CD₃OD) 21.9; ES-MS (–) data: m/z 411.24 (100%, [M–Et₃N–H]⁻), (C₂5H₅6NO₇P requires M, 513.38).
- 18. Nicotra, F.; Ronchetti, F.; Russo, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1982, 470.
- 19. Compound **16**: $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃) 25.7 and 28.6 [OCH₂(CH₂)₂CHO], 28.2 (d, $J_{\rm C,P}$ =137.0, CH₂P), 64.3 and 65.7 (2×CH₂OBz), 65.5 (br, CH₂CH₂P), 68.8 and 71.3 (CH₂OCH₂), 78.1 (CHO), 128.4–133.3 (Ph), 166.7 and 167.0 (2×C=O); $\delta_{\rm P}$ (CDCl₃) 24.25.
- 20. Burger, A.; Anderson, J. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 3575.